Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/792,150	OULU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2454
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) Kenneth R. Coulter.	(3)	
(2) <u>Tim Chheda (Reg. No. 60,752)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 June 2010	Time: <u>2:17 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Tim Chheda, regarding possible claim amendments to overcome 35 USC 101 concerns. Applicant's representative agreed to the language "method, executing on hardware, of instrumenting" in claim 1.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Kenneth R Coulter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)